

**Evaluation VHB-ProDok "Metaanalyse", 17.10 bis 20.10. 2012 in Berlin**

<b>Evaluation course</b>	<b>Scale</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>Minimum</b>	<b>Maximum</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>Total average</b>
Please indicate how satisfied you are with the workshop in general	7="Very satisfied" - 1 "Very dissatisfied"	11	5.00	7.00	6.6364	6.45
The workshop contains the contents I expected	7="Fully agree" - 1 "Fully disagree"	14	6.00	7.00	6.8571	
The contents of this workshop are relevant for my research	7="Fully agree" - 1 "Fully disagree"	14	3.00	7.00	6.0714	
The difficulty of the contents is appropriate	7="Fully agree" - 1 "Fully disagree"	14	5.00	7.00	6.5714	
The effort for this workshop is appropriate	7="Fully agree" - 1 "Fully disagree"	14	5.00	7.00	6.6429	
There is a good balance between theory and applications	7="Fully agree" - 1 "Fully disagree"	14	5.00	7.00	6.5000	
The workshop is well structured	7="Fully agree" - 1 "Fully disagree"	14	5.00	7.00	6.4286	
The course is well organized (e.g., registration, announcement, handling of payment)	7="Fully agree" - 1 "Fully disagree"	14	3.00	7.00	5.9286	
The course materials are useful	7="Fully agree" - 1 "Fully disagree"	14	6.00	7.00	6.6429	
Participation in the workshop is useful for networking	7="Fully agree" - 1 "Fully disagree"	14	5.00	7.00	6.0000	
I will recommend this workshop to other participants	7="Fully agree" - 1 "Fully disagree"	14	5.00	7.00	6.6429	

<b>Evaluation Prof. Martin Eisend</b>		<b>N</b>	<b>Minimum</b>	<b>Maximum</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>Total average</b>
Please evaluate the overall performance of the lecturer	7="Very good" - 1 "Very bad"	11	6.00	7.00	6.9091	6.92
...has a high level of expertise in the field	7="Fully agree" - 1 "Fully disagree"	14	7.00	7.00	7.0000	
...presents the subject matters well	7="Fully agree" - 1 "Fully disagree"	14	6.00	7.00	6.8571	
...takes the time for questions beyond the lecture	7="Fully agree" - 1 "Fully disagree"	14	6.00	7.00	6.9286	
...is motivating	7="Fully agree" - 1 "Fully disagree"	14	6.00	7.00	6.9286	