



Europa-Universität Viadrina
Große Scharnstraße 59
15230 Frankfurt (Oder)

Europa-Universität Viadrina - Große Scharnstraße 59 - 15230 Frankfurt (Oder)

Sehr geehrter Herr
Prof. Dr. Georg Stadtmann (PERSÖNLICH)

Auswertungsbericht Lehrveranstaltungsevaluation an die Lehrenden

Sehr geehrter Herr Prof. Dr. Stadtmann,

Sie erhalten hier die Ergebnisse der automatisierten Auswertung der Lehrveranstaltungsevaluation zur Veranstaltung International Macroeconomics

Fragebogen Typ en-fak1:

Der zuerst angegebene Globalindikator setzt sich aus folgenden Skalen des Fragebogens zusammen:

- Attitude and competence of the lecturer


Als nächstes werden die einzelnen Mittelwerte der oben genannten Skalen aufgeführt.

Im zweiten Teil des Auswertungsberichts werden die Mittelwerte aller einzelnen Fragen aufgelistet.

Bei Rückfragen steht Ihnen die Arbeitsstelle für Lehrveranstaltungsevaluation zur Verfügung.

Globalwerte

1. Attitude and competence of the lecturer




mw=4.1
s=1

Auswertungsteil der geschlossenen Fragen

Legende


Fragestext




n=Anzahl
mw=Mittelwert
s=Std.-Abw.
E.=Enthaltung

1. Attitude and competence of the lecturer


1.1) prepares well for this lecture




1.2) has a clear and stringent concept for this lecture ("red line")




1.3) strengthened my interest in this subject with this lecture




1.4) explains clearly and comprehensible




1.5) speaks clearly




1.6) answers to questions clearly and adequately detailed




1.7) integrates input from participants




1.8) is able to motivate students for active participation







2. Please evaluate your own study behavior.




3. Qualification and study results






4. Concluding questions



4.6) How many terms have you been studying as of now? (Not including semester off/holiday semester etc.)




Profillinie

Teilbereich:

Wiwi SS 11

Name der/des Lehrenden: Prof. Dr. Georg Stadtmann

Titel der Lehrveranstaltung: International Macroeconomics
(Name der Umfrage)



3.10) Presentation of own work



3.11) Interdisciplinary working



3.12) Practical experience, profession oriented knowledge



4. Concluding questions


4.1) Do you have **comments about the general conditions of this course?** (for example. Number of students, lecture structure, exam, overlapping, continuity, punctuality of the lecturer)

Teaching style is very good. I think the problem just is/was that students' background is very different. Some have high economic prerequisites, others not, so sometimes a little over-demanded.

The workload for the course was quite high, but I learned really a lot. Sometimes the exercise failed to connect to the lecture. Exercises were good to get basic information on state, but too short time.

- a lot of work to do
- in beginning not clear what do to in order to pass

the structure of the Tutorial will need to be different (if evaluation of the tutorial).



Everything was ok, except there was ~~so~~ for one week overlapping with other course

I like the lecture structure, although I think that the tutorials really do not make any sense. I learned nothing there. I like that the workload is divided into individual, group assignments and quizzes + exam.

much workload for the course especially for the individual assignments
difficult to attend at tests/quizzes if there are overlapping lectures
difficult to get the link between tutorial and lectures

- announce exact dates for the reading quizzes
- incorporate the tutorial better into the module
is only stated with little concern for the models
applied in the lecture is not sufficient.

4.2) Do you have accolade, criticism or suggestions for improvement of the lecture or this evaluation questionnaire?

- reading quizzes are good idea, kept up learning
- exercise class was unnecessary, just copy + paste
did not learn much
- group work was difficult. to ~~be~~ difficult compared with lect.
tutorial was useless
from copy and paste from Power Point slides into Slata
you do not learn anything

The workload is really too high, even if the learning process is good, we have other courses in which we have also a lot to do, the idea of individual assignment and group works is good but just too much.

Less homeworks

More clearly and slowly explanations, the tutorials

The professor knows a lot, but sometimes he could speak a bit slowly, so I could write everything down. Otherwise it was ok.

to make work in comparison to other module.

Maybe just make one individual assignment and two group assignments,

see above

The lecturer criticizes in a very offensive way; scares students to ask questions during class.
~~shows a very bad example~~

Please provide complete slides!